The Uniqueness of Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa: An Overview

Nilesh Majhi

M. Phil Research Scholar

Department of Ancient Indian History & Culture, University of Calcutta

E-Mail Id – nileshmaihc0497@gmail.com

Abstract

Rāmāyaṇa was first written in Sanskrit in ancient India by Vālmikī. Gradually this epic gained considerable popularity and the legends of Rāmāyaṇa spread to different parts of India. As a result, the Vālmikī Rāmāyaṇa was translated into different languages and many regional Rāmāyaṇas developed independently based on the story of the original Rāmāyaṇa. Krittivās Ojhā first translated the Rāmāyaṇa into Bengali in the 15th century CE. From the 16th century CE onwards, there are many references to other regional Rāmāyaṇa compositions. We forget about an 18th century man who wrote the Rāmāyaṇa in the Bengali language, named Advuta Rāmāyaṇa. He was Jagadram Roy, who lived in the village of Bhului in Bankura district of West Bengal. He was fully assisted by his son in composing the Rāmāyaṇa. The Rāmāyaṇa composed by Jagadram Roy and his eldest son Ramprasad Roy is now known as Rāmprasādī- Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa. This Rāmāyaṇa is very important to know the social and cultural history of medieval Bengal. So, in this article, I will try to shed light on the historical importance and uniqueness of Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa.

Keywords: Rāmāyaṇa, Jagadram, Ramprasad, Krittivas Ojha, Bankura, Puṣkarkāṇḍa, Sītā.

Introduction

The *Rāmāyaṇa* and the *Mahābhārata* are well known as two outstanding epics of ancient India. Vālmikī is known as the author of the *Rāmāyaṇa* and Vyāsadeva is the author of the *Mahābhārata*. Both epics were compiled over several centuries. Therefore, it would not be right to think that one author composed an entire epic. These epics are considered priceless treasures of Indian history. It must be remembered that the epics were transmitted orally for a long time and were later preserved in written form. Here I will mainly discuss the epic *Rāmāyaṇa*, and try to shed some light on how this epic became so popular at the regional level. I will try to emphasise the Bengali *Rāmāyaṇa* in particular and discuss how this epic found its place in the Bengali tradition and culture. Naturally, when we talk about the *Rāmāyaṇa* of Bengal, the first thing that comes to our mind is the Bengali *Rāmāyaṇa* written by Krittibās for the first time.¹ Although the *Krittivāsī Rāmāyaṇa* is very popular in Bengal, there are other few Bengali *Rāmāyaṇas* that are not discussed much. One of them is known as *Advuta Rāmāyaṇa* by Jagadram Roy (or, Jagatram Roy).² The overall structure of this *Rāmāyaṇa*, composed in Bengal in the 18th century CE, is largely different from the *Vālmikī Rāmāyaṇa* and other regional *Rāmāyaṇas*. *Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa* is therefore very unique from other *Rāmāyaṇas*.

Now the question has been arising, who was Jagadram Roy? And, why is $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ considered very significant in the history of Bengali tradition and culture? Jagadram was born in the early 18th century in the village of Bhului in the present-day Bankura district of West Bengal. He devoted himself to writing the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ as per the advice of his elder brother Jitram Roy. Jagadram was fully assisted in its composition by his son Ramprasad Roy.³ The $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ composed by Jagadram Roy in 18th century Bengal, was not a translation of the original $V\bar{a}lmik\bar{a}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$. Even he did not follow the $Sr\bar{i}r\bar{a}m$ $P\bar{a}nch\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ by Krittibās Ojha very much in this regard.⁴ The uniqueness of the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ he has composed is that it uses eight $k\bar{a}ndas$ instead of seven $k\bar{a}ndas$. The eighth $k\bar{a}nda$ which he added is

titled, Puṣkarkāṇḍa. He even added a small part called $R\bar{a}ma-R\bar{a}sal\bar{\imath}l\bar{a}$ in between the Puṣkarkāṇḍa and Uttarākāṇḍa. Surprisingly, this $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇa$ talks about the thousand-headed $R\bar{a}vaṇa$ and represents the appearance of $S\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ either as a form of goddess $K\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ or $Durg\bar{a}$. That's why this $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇa$ literature seems to be quite distinct and unique from other regional $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇas$.

Multifarious Bengali Ramayana:

The *Rāmāyaṇa* was first translated into the Bengali language by Krittivās Ojhā, around the 15th century CE. The *Rāmāyaṇa* was later composed in the Mymensingh region of present-day Bangladesh around the middle of the 16th century CE. It was composed by Chandrabati and it cannot be called a translation of the original *Rāmāyaṇa*.⁵ Chandrabati's *Rāmāyaṇa* has been identified as the first Bengali *Rāmāyaṇa* written by a woman in Bengal, where Chandrabati also re-arranged the legends of the *Rāmāyaṇa* according to her vision. Around the 17th century CE, under the patronage of the Malla kings of Bishnupur, the poet Shankara Chakraborty was engaged to composed one another *Rāmāyaṇa* work.⁶ He was commonly known as 'Kabichandra' and his *Rāmāyaṇa* was known as *Bishnupurī Rāmāyaṇa*. Even at that time Gangaram Dutta, Mahananda Chakraborty, Dwija Laxman Das, Bhabani Das and many others started to compose *Rāmāyaṇa* in their way.⁷ Jagadram Roy and Ramprasad Roy of Bankura composed one another *Rāmāyaṇa* in the 18th century CE. Jagadram gave the name of this book as the *Advuta Rāmāyaṇa*, but now it is known as *Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa*.⁸ This book is too unknown to many people, but even today the popularity of this *Rāmāyaṇa* exists in different areas of Bankura at the local level.

The epic $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ has been translated into various Indian and foreign languages, and various regional $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ stories have developed over the centuries. There are several legends based on the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ scattered throughout South and Southeast Asia. In the 16th century CE, the text called $R\bar{a}macharitm\bar{a}nas$ by Tulsidas became very popular in Northern India. This literature, perhaps, is largely responsible for the development of various regional forms of the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$. However, I have mainly discussed the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$ of Bengal here and it is, therefore, worth mentioning here the various unknown $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ of Bengal composed mainly in medieval times.

Rāma Kathā has gained great popularity among the Indian people since ancient times. The effect of this popularity is also observed in Bengal and history is presented through various regional Rāmāyaṇas of Bengal. The objectives of all these Rāmāyaṇas are different from the original Vālmikī Rāmāyaṇa. These texts reflect the personal thoughts and philosophies of various authors. However, the Rāmāyaṇas contain not only the imagination of authors but also reveal the nature of contemporary society and culture through several legends. Various such Bengali Rāmāyaṇas composed in medieval Bengal may be briefly recalled here. Rāmāyaṇas Such as Adhyātma Rāmāyaṇa, Adbhuta Rāmāyaṇa, Ānanda Rāmāyaṇa, Bhūśaṇdī Rāmāyaṇa, etc. still reflects the dynamic history of society, culture, and tradition of medieval Bengal.

Jagadram Roy – His personal life and contemporary backdrop:

We have already mentioned Jagadram Roy as the author of the *Rāmāyaṇa* under discussion here. He was born in the 18th century CE in the Bankura district of present-day West Bengal. He was born in the village of Bhului under the Mahisara Pargana of the Shikharbhum State, now known as the Bhului village under Mejia Police Station. Jagadram Roy and his son Ramprasad Roy were born and brought up here. Raja Monilal Sekhar Simhadeo, also known as Raghunath Narayana was the ruler of this Shikharbhum or Gara Panchkot kingdom at the time when Jagadram composed his *Rāmāyaṇa*. The rulers of this royal family patronized the Vaishnava religion and there is various scattered evidence of

it. Even their chief deity was Raghunāth Jiu.¹⁰ At that time, the attraction of people towards Vaishnavism in the surrounding environment must have touched the mind of Jagadram. Hence, Vaishnava's devotion is clearly expressed in his literature.

While Jagadram Roy composed the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$, the influence of the $Ram\bar{a}yet$ sect (followers of $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}nanda$) spread over the different areas of Bankura. He belongs to a Brahmanical family by birth and they had also worshipped $S\bar{a}lagr\bar{a}m$ $Sil\bar{a}$ as Raghunāth Jiu. They adopted the epithet 'Roy' as their surname from the contemporary rulers of Bankura. Jagadram's father was Raghunath Roy and his elder brother was Jitram Roy. Jitram encouraged Jagadram to compose the Bengali $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ and introduced Jagadram to a book known as Adbhuta $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ which was popular in Bengal at that time. Jagadram left the structure of $Krittiv\bar{a}si$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ and developed a new kind of $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ framework. In this regard, he was influenced by the Adbhuta $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ as well as various other regional $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$.

He was also devoted to Shaktism in his personal life; That's why he composed another piece of literature called *Durgā Pāñcharātri*. His devotion to Shaktism is also revealed in his *Rāmāyaṇa*. In both literary works, he was actively assisted by his illustrious son Ramprasad Roy. This *Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa* was edited and published in 1906 by Kashibilas Bandyopadhyay, a resident of Kalikapur, a neighbouring village of Bhului in Bankura.¹¹

Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa - Text and Context:

Vālmikī Rāmāyaṇa is an epic poem of some 24,000 verses, divided into seven kāṇḍas; which are — Bālakāṇḍa, Ayodhyākāṇḍa, Araṇyakāṇḍa, Kiṣkindhyākāṇḍa, Sundarākāṇḍa, Yuddhakāṇḍa, and Uttarākāṇḍa. But a vernacular Rāmāyaṇa composed in Bengal in the 18th century CE, long after Vālmikī 's Rāmāyaṇa, did not follow exactly this structure. Jagadram Roy, a resident of the village named Bhului under Mejia police station of present-day Bankura district, composed this unique Rāmāyaṇa. He named it the Advuta Rāmāyaṇa, although it is now known as Rāmprasādī-Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa; because it was written by both Jagadram and his son Ramaprasad. In this part of the article, I will try to shed light on the subject of this Rāmāyaṇa and its context.

Why I have to claim that $Jagadrām\bar{\imath}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ is very unique from other $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$ can be understood by discussing the text and context of this book. First of all, the verses of $\bar{A}dik\bar{a}nda$ written by Jagadram in his $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$, are very rare in medieval literature. This part can be called the prologue or introduction to the $Jagadr\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$. At the beginning of this book written in the form of verse, the duties and responsibilities that people must fulfil in their lives have been reminded. Even the complex aspects of esoteric psychology are brought out through wonderful consonance. Jagadram mentions here that the right actions in life can guide people in the right direction. Needless to say, such a statement is not found in medieval literature and especially in other regional $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$. Also, in this $k\bar{a}nda$, $R\bar{a}machandra$ is declared as 'Hari' i.e., an avatar of Lord Vishnu. Even in this $k\bar{a}nda$, Jagadram expresses his gratitude to his elder brother, as he got inspiration from him to compose this text.

In $Jagadrām\bar{\imath}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$, the character of $S\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ is given more importance than in other $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$. The greatness of $S\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}'s$ character is in $\bar{A}dik\bar{a}nda$ itself and further glorified in the other $k\bar{a}ndas$. There are several legends in this book, which are not mentioned in $V\bar{a}lmik\bar{\imath}$ and $Krittiv\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$; such as the legend of $Sr\bar{\imath}mat\bar{\imath}$, $N\bar{a}rad's$ regret about $S\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}'s$ birth, excessive $Lakshm\bar{\imath}$ $Vandan\bar{a}$, anecdote of $Bh\bar{\imath}sand\bar{\imath}$ crow, etc. Among these, the story of $S\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}'s$ birth is very interesting, as she is said to be the

child of Mandodari, who will destroy $R\bar{a}vaṇa$. However, there is a similar story of $S\bar{t}t\bar{a}'s$ birth in the $Chandrabati\bar{t}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇa$ and Jagadram Roy likely collected it from that $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaṇa$.

The real uniqueness of Jagadrāmī Rāmāyana is that it has eight kāndas instead of seven kāndas. This eighth kānda is known as Puşkarkānda. Jagadram even added another part called Rāma-Rāsalīlā between Puşkarkānda and Uttarākānda. In Puşkarkānda, Sītā told Agastya about the thousandheaded Rāvaṇa, who ruled in the Puṣkar region. According to the legend of this Rāmāyaṇa, Rāmachandra marched to Puşkar with a huge army and there engaged in battle with thousand-headed Rāvana. But in the battle with thousand-headed Rāvana, Rāmachandra was gradually losing, and at one point he fainted. At that time $S\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ appeared as $Mah\bar{a}k\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ and went to the battlefield, and started again the battle with Rāvana. Sītā in the form of Mahākālī kills Rāvana and after regaining consciousness, $R\bar{a}machandra$ attempts to pacify the terrible goddess by praising goddess $K\bar{a}l\bar{i}$. Apart from Goddess Kālī, the origin of Ādyā Śakti and Mātrikās are also discussed here. ¹⁵ This Rāmāyaṇa shows allegiance to the Vaishnava and Śākta sects simultaneously. Jagadram Roy was devoted to Shaktism in his personal life; That's why the female characters in his *Rāmāyana* got more importance. Besides composing *Puskarkānda* he had tried to elaborate on the characters of goddesses *Durgā*, *Kālī*, Chandī, Lakshmi, etc. 16 The legend of the slaying of Rāvaṇa by Sītā is found in the one and only Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa. Jagadram Roy's attraction to the sect of Shaktism elevated the female characters in his Rāmāyaṇa to the status of goddesses. In short, this Rāmāyaṇa can be said to be a combined manifestation of Vaishnavism and Shaktism.

Apart from the eight $k\bar{a}ndas$, $Jagadr\bar{a}m\bar{i}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ has another short section called $R\bar{a}ma$ - $R\bar{a}sal\bar{i}l\bar{a}$. In this section, $R\bar{a}ma's$ $R\bar{a}sal\bar{i}l\bar{a}$ is presented identically with Krishna's $R\bar{a}sal\bar{i}l\bar{a}$. Although, $R\bar{a}ma's$ $R\bar{a}sal\bar{i}l\bar{a}$ is not mentioned in any other $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$. The Vaishnava devotional aspect of Jagadram emerges behind this emphasis on $R\bar{a}ma$. At the time Jagadram and his son Ramaprasad were writing the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$, Vaishnavism and Shaktism were gaining prominence in Bengal. Both Jagadram and Ramprasad were influenced by the Vaishnava and Śākta religions of Bengal. So, this $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ written by them represents the mixed heritage form of medieval Bengali culture.

Conclusion:

The epic $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ has gradually carved its place in the folk culture of various regions of the Indian subcontinent since ancient times. As a result, several regional $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yanas$ have been developed and in them, the evolving forms of society, culture, religion, and tradition of that region have emerged. All these aspects are also seen in the $Jagadr\bar{a}m\bar{i}$ $R\bar{a}mpras\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$. This text provides a glimpse of the dynamic regional history of medieval Bengal. This $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ should be considered a very momentous material in the study of the history of Bengal.

References:

- 1. Subodh Chandra Majumdar (ed.). Krittivasi Rāmāyaṇa, Dev Sahitya Kutir, Kolkata, 2016.
- 2. Nirmalendu Mukhopadhyay (ed.). *Adbhut Aṣṭakāṇḍe sampurṇa Rāmprāsadī Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇa*, Mahesh Library Prakashan Sanstha, First Mahesh Edition, Baishakh 1, 1403 BS/April, 1996; See also Dilip Mukhopadhyay (ed.). *Advut Aṣṭkāṇḍo Samonnito Jagadrāmī-Rāmprosādī Srī Jagadrāmī-Rāmāyaṇa*, Beni Madhab Seal S Library, Kolkata, August, 2019.
- 3. Subham Mukhopadhyay. 'Prasanga Jagadrāmī Rāmāyana: Kobi O Kabyo', *Udbodhan Patrika*, Vol. 6, June 2016, pp. 397-403.

- 4. Mahua Mukherjee. 'Ramoṇīyo Rāmāyaṇer Prabhāb: Bṛihat Baṅger Saṁskṛitite', Anita Bose (ed.) *Bangabhūmite Rāmāyaṇ Charchār Oitijhyo*, Vivekananda Kendro Bangla Prokashon, Kolkata, March 2022, p. 30; Subham Mukhopadhyay, *op. cit*.
- 5. Saptadweepa Karmakar. *Prasanga Bānglā Rāmāyan: Krittivāsī, Chandrābatī, Bishņupurī, Jagadrāmī Rāmāyaṇer Tulonāmulak Parjālochonā*, Doshor Publication, Kolkata, 2022, pp. 153-210; Nabaneeta Dev Sen. 'When Women Retell the Ramayan', *MANUSHI*, No. 108, Sep-Oct 1998, pp. 18-27.
- 6. Saptadweepa Karmakar. *op.cit*, pp. 215-55; Biplab Barat. 'Bāṅkurār Loksaṁskṛitite Rāmāyaṇer Prabhāb', Anita Bose (ed.), *op.cit*, pp. 243-55; Subham Mukhopadhyay, *op.cit*.; Dr. Gita Niyogi. *Bishṇupurī Rāmāyan O Anyānyo Baṅgla Rāmāyaṇ, Rita Prokashoni*, Srirampur, Hooghly, 2000.
- 7. Ibid.
- 8. Ibid.
- 9. Paula Richman (ed.). *Many Rāmāyaṇas: The Diversity of a Narrative Tradition in South Asia*, Oxford India Paperbacks, 1994.
- 10. Subham Mukhopadhyay, op. cit.; Biplab Barat, op. cit.
- 11. Ibid.
- 12. Ibid.; Saptadweepa Karmakar, op.cit., pp. 256-352.
- 13. Dilip Mukhopadhyay, op. cit.
- 14. Saptadweepa Karmakar, op. cit.
- 13. Ibid.
- 14. Ibid.
- 15. Ibid.; Biplab Barat, op. cit.
- 18. Ibid.